

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE Southern Division

**FILED**

MAR 14 2005

MARSA L. DEPPNER, CLERK  
U.S. District & Bankruptcy Court  
Southern District of West Virginia

FREDERICK DIXON

Plaintiff,

v.

Marty Anderson et al

Defendant (s).

CIVIL NO. 5:05-0218

PETITION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.  
SECTION 1331

---

PETITIONER FILED IN THIS HONORABLE COURT FOR THE  
Southern Division of West  
Virginia, "Beckley"

---

BY PLAINTIFF: Frederick Dixon #26592-039  
ELI-Beckley  
P.O. Box 350  
Beaver, WV 25813

1331 Form

FORM TO BE USED BY FEDERAL PRISONERS IN FILING A COMPLAINT  
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1331

In the United States District Court  
For the Southern Division

FREDERICK DIXON

(Enter above the full name of the  
plaintiff or plaintiffs in this  
action)

v.

Marty Anderson  
Sue Engles  
Captain Hutchins  
Lt. Flemming

(Enter the full name above of the  
defendant or defendants in this  
action).

I. Place of Present Confinement FCI-Beckley

II. Previous Lawsuits

A. Have you begun other lawsuits dealing with the same facts involved  
in this action or otherwise relating to your imprisonment?  
Yes ☒ No ☐

B. If your answer to A is yes, describe each lawsuit in the space  
below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional  
lawsuits on another piece of paper, using the same outline).

I'm Amending/Substituting my previous claim  
with this claim. My first claim was not filed  
on a 1331 Form -- nor did I submit an Application  
to proceed in forma pauperis Document. At  
the time of the first filing, I was held  
incommunicado.

III. In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily fully exhaust your administrative remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court.

A. Did you fully exhaust, including appeals, your administrative remedies pursuant to the Bureau of Prisons Policy Statement 1330.07? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No   X  

B. If your answer to A is yes,

1. What steps did you take?

2. What was the result?

C. If your answer to A is no, why not ?

Please See attachment "STYLE" Reason  
For not exhausting Administ

IV. Parties

(In Item A below, place your name in the first blank and place your present address in the second blank. Do the same for additional plaintiffs, if any).

A. Name of plaintiff Frederick Dixon #26592-034  
P.O. Box 350 - FCI - Beckley  
Beaver, WV

( In Item B below, place the full name of the defendant in the first blank, his official position in the second blank. Use Item C for the names, positions, and place of employment of any additional defendants).

B. Defendant Marty Anderson is employed as Warden  
at FCI - Beckley

C. Additional Defendants Please See Attachment  
Styled "Additional Defendants"

V. Cause of Action

(List hereunder the different causes of action that are the grounds for the prosecution of this law suit.)

1. Racial Discrimination
2. Cruel and unusual Punishment
3. Denial of Access to Court and Violation of Free Speech and Right to be informed

VI. Grounds for relief

(Hereunder set out the factual allegations that you consider as establishing a basis for your requested relief under the specific cause/s of action/s.) (If additional space is necessary, include as attachments.)

1. Please See Attachment
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

VII. Relief sought

(Hereunder itemize the specific relief you exact to obtain on the prosecution of this law suit.)

1. Please See Attachment
- 2.

Wherefore, your Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the within relief sought and any other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Signed this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005

Fred Dixon 26592-039

P.O. Box 350

Beaver, WV 25813

(Signature of plaintiff or  
plaintiffs)

Executed at FCI-Beckley, Beckley WV

(Name of Institution, City, County)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing  
is true and correct.

Executed on 3-10-05

(Date)

Frederick Dixon

(Signature of plaintiff or  
plaintiffs)

Attachment  
"Additional Defendants"

1. D. Cales, Senior Officer Specialist
2. Brian Stevens (Teacher)
3. Jill Carver (Teacher)
- ✓ 4. D. Pritt, Senior Officer Specialist (SOS)
- ✓ 5. L. Avers, "SOS"
- ✓ 6. F. Ruppel "SOS"
7. J. Ford
8. Tunner "SOS"
9. T. Carter "SOS"
10. Ms. Blankenship (Teacher)
11. Ms. Bowman (Teacher)
12. T. McGrady (Teacher)
13. Ormont Adm. Remedy Coordinator
14. C. Kilgore Disciplinary Hearing Officer
15. B. Barkley (Teacher)
16. Logant (SOS)
17. Pantry (SOS)
18. Midgley (SOS)

All Defendants are Employees  
of Federal Bureau of Prison - Beckley  
P.O. Box 350  
Beaver, WV 25813

## Attachment

### VI Grounds for relief

This is a classic case of blatant abuse, Racial Discrimination, and Rogue Prison Staff Corruption that equal or Surpass the Iraq Abu Ghraib Prison Scandal.

This Claim will establish that Defendant Dixon was unfairly target, harassed, and retaliated against because of Defendants racial hatred of him and because of his status as a Jailhouse lawyer who frequently filed Claims, and assisted other in filing Claims, that humiliated and embarrassed Defendants.

Dixon will show that while African Americans make up the vast majority of the prison inmate population, only three (3) percent of the prison employees are African Americans.

He will show that Caucasian inmates are less than five (5) percent of the inmate population; however, they are given preference treatment over Hispanics and African Americans. They are assigned to a disproportional number of prestigious Jobs, Substance Abuse Programming, and

Pg2 Groud for relief Continue:

Academic opportunities. The Defendants attempts to hookwint those outside looking in by using fuzzy maths... they classify Hispanics as white on paper in an attempt to confuse and distort the true racial composition.

Plaintiff Dixon will show that he was wrongfully confined in the Special Housing Unit (SHU) as a result of concoct lies manufactured by vindictive Defendants who knowingly falsified incident reports against Dixon solely for the purpose to have him removed from the general prison population in an attempt to silent him and to exercise domination over him.

Dixon will further show that Defendants Warden Anderson, Deputy warden Sue Engles, Captain Hutchins, and Administrative Remedy Coordinator Ormont was dereliction of their duties and became accomplices to the malicious crimes perpetrated against Mr. Dixon.

Dixon will show that Defendant Engles Violated his First Amendment Right when she rejected "without



pg 3 Ground for relief Cont:

Sanctuary, a book Dixon religious leader referred him to. Defendant Engles claim the book violated PS 5266.10. She claimed the book may be offensive to others because it contained explicit photographs of men, both black and white, that had been lynched or hanged.

Dixon will show that Defendants repeatedly conducted retaliatory shakedowns of his cell. During these shakedowns, Dixon will show that Defendants arbitrarily and capriciously confiscated his dictionary, legal papers, dentures, and postal stamps.

Dixon will show he was denied Access to the Law Library -- He will show Defendants removed the typewriter from the SHU Law library to prevent him from having Access to it.

Dixon will show that he was arbitrarily placed on bag meals and that on several occasions Defendants refused to feed him.

Dixon will show that he was held incommunicado for a month when Defendants confiscated all of his property, including postal stamps. Dixon was unable to write or call anyone.

Pg 4 Ground for relief cont:

Dixon will show that he was humiliated and force to stand nude while a Caucasian female video him. He will show that He was Assaulted, and Defendant who Assaulted him reverse the situation by charging Dixon with Assault. The incident was also videoed.

Lastly, Dixon will show that Defendant Kilgore, who is the Disciplinary Hearing officer (DHO) presented a conflict of interest and was personally bias, and not the neutral, detach, referee he's obligated to be.

### STATEMENT OF CASE

1. ON or about April 3, 2004 Dixon wrote the Regional Director, Ms. Kim White and informed her that Defendant Anderson and his Administration was in violation of the Federal Bureau of Prison Affirmative Action Policy Statement. See exhibit A and A-1

2. ON or about March 2, 2004 Plaintiff Dixon wrote Defendant Warden Anderson and warned him of his prison being in violation of Affirmative Action. He pointed out that

## Pg. 5 STATEMENT OF CASE Cont:

African American inmates made up the vast majority of the inmate population, but only three (3) percent of the staff are African American. Further, he stated that Caucasian inmates are less than five (5) percent of the inmate population; however, over 90% of the institutional staff are Caucasian. Dixon further expressed he was being discriminated against in employment.

3. During the month of March 2004 Dixon spoke personally to Defendant Anderson at main-line in the kitchen. At that time, Defendant Anderson told Dixon if he didn't discontinue stirring up racial controversy, he was going to end up in the Special Housing Unit. He further stated Dixon had too much idle time on his hand and needed something to do. He further called Defendant Midgley, Dixon Counselor and made arrangement for Dixon to be assigned to the kitchen.

4. Around or About March 2004, Dixon met with Counselor Midgley and objected

Pg 6

## STATEMENT OF CASE Cont:

to being assigned to the Kitchen. Dixon presented Defendant Midgley with his Associate Degree and asked to be assign to a Clerk or Teacher-Aid Job. However, because of Defendant Midgley racial hatred of Dixon and in retaliation for the grievances Dixon had file, Defendant Midgley assigned Dixon to the Kitchen. Dixon did file Adm. Remedies in this matter. see ex. B, B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5, and B-6.

5. Around or about April 2004 Dixon applied for a Law Library Clerk position in the Education Department. Dixon spoke personally with Defendant Bowman and presented her with his Associate Degree and Paralegal Certificate. When Dixon Applied for the Job, the position was open, but because of Dixon race, Defendant Bowman refused to hire him. Defendant Bowman hired two Caucasian inmates who applied for the jobs after Dixon. Furthermore, neither inmates had any prior experience as a legal clerk. Defendant simply hired them because of the color of their skin. Their names are

## Pg. 7 Statement of Case cont.:

Daniels Henry and Spiers. Dixon file request for Adm. Remedy, contesting the matter; however, is unable to attach an exhibit because Defendants have confiscated many of his legal documents.

6. on or about 8-30-04 Defendant Dixon left his legal files with inmate Henry while he went back to the Housing Unit to retrieve other legal material he had left. Upon his returned, he learned that Defendant Stevens had confiscated the material. Dixon approach Defendant Stevens and ask why he took his legal material. Defendant stated that Dixon had abandon the material. Dixon and Inmate Henry both assured Defendant that the material hadn't been abandon. After it became clear that Defendant Stevens wasn't going to return the material, Dixon asked for a Confiscation Notice, itemizing what had been taken. Defendant refuse to give Dixon a Confiscation Notice went back into the office and began reading Dixon Legal documents. Dixon filed a Request for Adm. Remedy against Defendant

## Pg. 8 Statement of Case Cont:

Stevens, but the process was circumvented because Defendant Midgley refused to give Dixon the necessary forms to complete the process. See EX. C and C-1

7. ON 9-3-04 Defendant Blankenship called Defendant Dixon into her office and accused him of lying about the missing legal material Defendant Stevens arbitrarily confiscated. She canceled Dixon 12:30 Legal Research Detail and threaten to place him in the SHU. Defendant actions were intended to, and did, harass and intimidate Dixon. Dixon did attempt to utilize the Adm. Remedy Process. See EX. D

8. Around or about Sept. 2004 Defendant Sue Engle rejected a book Dixon ordered titled, "Without Sanctuary". This was a culture book that gives a detail account of the "Black holocaust" -- the greatest atrocity ever committed in the annals of history. Jews are permitted to study and learn of their holocaust, as well as review graphic images. But Defendant



1 Pg. 9 Statement of Case cont:

Engles wish to treat the Black holocaust as insignificant. Without Sanctuary doesn't pose a Security risk and its denial is an act of discrimination and a violation of Dixon first Amendment Right. See ex. E

9. ON about Aug 2004 Plaintiff Dixon wrote Defendant Anderson, notifying him that he was being harassed and threaten with retaliation by staff for assisting other inmates See exhibit F

10. ON Oct 6, 2004 Defendant Stevens, Berkley, Will Carver, and T. McGrady entered into a conspiracy to have Dixon wrongfully confined to the Special Housing Unit (SHU) because of their racial hatred of him and in retaliation for prior Request for Adm. Remedies Dixon had filed against them and there co-workers. When the Defendants decided to conspire and falsify incident reports and memos against Dixon, they knew the reports to be false, and

## Pg. 10 Statement of Case cont:

likely to cause Dixon to be wrongfully confined in the SHU, as well as to lose good days. Despite knowing these facts, Defendants Stevens, Barkley, Carver, and McGrady, fabricated memos and incident reports accusing Dixon of Assault and Sexual proposal. These accusations were blatant lies. Inmate David Gibson, Roy Horton, Bradley, and Dan Henry witness the entire event and will testify that the charges are bogus lies. Dixon attempted to utilize the Adm. Remedy process, but was circumvented from doing so. See exhibit G, G-1 and G-2

11. ON Oct. 10, 2004 Defendant Ripple and Turner appeared at Dixon cell. Turner was hiding to prevent Dixon from seeing him. Ripple told Dixon to "Cuff-up, you're going to see the 24." After Dixon cuffed up, Defendant Turner jump from behind the door where he was hiding and confronted Dixon about letters Dixon wrote to the warden and Captain. In those letters Dixon had accused Turner of making racial slurs and corruption. Turner told



## Pg. 11 STATEMENT OF CASE Cont:

Dixon, "You're in my house, and while you're here, you have nothing coming". He further stated, "I will be waiting on you when you're release back to the compound." He stated, "and as for these letters, they will never make it to the warden or captain". Later that Day when the orderlies was passing out Cleaning Supplies, Defendant Ripple instructed them not to give Dixon any Supplies. That same day Defendant Turner and Ripple fabricated incident reports and memo's against Dixon. They accused Dixon of being insolence and Threaten. Dixon never threaten or became insolence. Inmate Carroll Owens was in the cell with Dixon and witness the entire incident.

12. ON 10-11-04 Defendant Coles fabricated an incident report against Dixon. Coles accused Dixon of refusing to stand for Court. Dixon did stand for Court, which can be verified by inmate Carroll Owens.

13. ON 10-25-04 Defendant Priti and  
- 11 -

## Pg. 12 Statement of Case Cont:

Defendant Capt. Hutchins escorted Dixon to the Strip Cage for a shakedown. After Dixon removed his clothes and was searched, Defendant Pritt told Dixon to get dress. Defendant Pritt gave Dixon Small underwears. Dixon was 225 pounds and need 2X underwears. He informed Defendant Pritt of that fact, at which time Pritt ordered Dixon to put on the small underwears. Dixon refused, because he couldn't put the underwears on. At that point, Defendant Capt. Hutchins called all available staff to the SHU. Once the staff arrived, Defendant Hutchins had a Caucasian woman video Dixon's nude. During this process Defendant Pritt and Defendant Barkley was taunting Dixon by making faces and laughing at his nudeness and the fact that a woman was videoing Dixon. Lt. McCathye arrived, and once learning of the situation, gave Dixon larger underwearing and escorted him back to his cell.

## Pg 13 Statement of Case Cont:

14. ON 11-07-04 at approximate 4:30 PM Defendant Cales began serving evening meals. When Cales made it to Dixon cell, he gave Dixon cellmate Bridges a tray, but close the Hatch and refused to give Dixon a meal. This incident was witness by Inmate Abdullah, Bridges and Bibb. Later that afternoon Defendant Lt. Flemming came to Dixon cell to review a fabricated incident report Cales wrote, claiming Dixon was insolence and refused his meal. Dixon ask Defendant Flemming to feed him and Bridges, Bibb, and Abdullah told Flemming Dixon did not refuse his meal. Flemming stated, "I just came to review the shot," and immediately after, left.

15. ON 11-8-04 Defendant Cales and Defendant Ayers ordered Dixon to cuff up. once Dixon cuffed up, he was taken to the Strip Cage. While in the strip cage, Cales and Ayers search Dixon cell. Cales and

## Pg 14 Statement of Case Cont:

Ayers put six capsules of Bridges medicine into Dixon mail, then claimed Dixon was in possession of authorized medication. Inmate Bridges will verify that his medication was never in Dixon possession -- that Cales and Ayers framed Dixon. Cales and Ayers also took Dixon postal stamps and his dentures.

16. ON 11-16-04 Cales returned Dixon denture while he was in the Law Library. He placed them in the window seal. See ex. H

17. ON or about 12-2004 Defendants Cales, Turner, Ripple, and Lt Flemming conduct a retaliation Shakedown of Dixon Cell. When Dixon returned from the Strip Cage to his cell, he discovered his book of postal stamps were missing, his dictionary, and Request For Admin Remedy Forms. Dixon later observed Ripple discarding the dictionary.

18. ON 12-9-04 Defendant Cales

## Pg. 15 Statement of Case Cont:

fabricated an incident report against Dixon. He accused Dixon of being insolence and threaten. Dixon never said one word to Cales. Inmate Bridges and Abdullah witness this incident.

19. ON 12-8-04 Defendant Ripple falsified an incident report against Dixon. He accused Dixon of being insolence and disobeying an order. Dixon was not insolence or disobeyed an order.

20. ON 12-12-04 Defendant Cales manufactured and fabricated an incident report against Dixon for insolence and Treaten. Dixon was not insolence or threaten.

21 ON or about 12-22-04 Defendant Cales appeared at Dixon Cell and showed Dixon a picture of Karen Kube, Cales had stolen from Dixon pictures. Cales stated, "You need to date within your own race." "All niggers love white women." "It's going to be a Sad day for niggers when they're barred from white women". Cales also

## Pg 16. Statement of Case Cont-

Showed Dixon letters he had seized from the institutional mail Karen had sent Dixon that was never delivered to him. Cales told Dixon he frequented the bar Karen worked at. He further told Dixon Defendant Engles demanded that the owner terminate Karen, or she would stop FCI-Beckley staff from frequenting the bar. Karen confirm that the owner did terminate her for that purpose. She will testify to same. See ex. I, I-1, and I-2

22 ON 12-15-05 while being escorted to medical for an assessment due to Dixon hunger strike status, Defendant Flemming became agitated and angry because Dixon was not walking at the pace he desired. Dixon had not eaten in 8 days and was very weak. Defendant Flemming accused Dixon of not walking fast enough, and became physical abusive and aggressive by twisting Dixon thumb and mashing the cuffs into Dixon skin. He cause Dixon to suffer damage to the nerves in his right hand. Defendant Flemming later falsified an incident



## Pg. 17 Statement of Case Cont:

report accusing Dixon of Assaulting him. The entire incident was videoed and will show that Dixon never Assaulted Flemming. Dixon was at all time in hand cuff behind his back. Clearly, Flemming was the aggressor. See EX. J, J-1 and J-2

23. ON 12-26-04 Defendant Cales confiscated a draft of Dixon Civil Right claim, 25 to 30 Request for Adm. Remedy Forms, Eight postal stamps, several exhibit Dixon was using for this claim, and legal briefs. The confiscation of these item is an attempt by Defendant to circumvent the Adm. Remedy Process and hold Dixon incommunicado. See exhibit K, K-1 and K-2

24. ON 12-21-04 Defendant Hutchins, Warden Anderson, and D.W. Sue Engles authorize bag meals for Dixon for 5 days. When these individuals authorize the bag meal they know or should have known that Dixon must be afforded Due Process before being sanctioned. Dixon

## Pg. 18 Statement of Case Cont:

never was given any notice of a hearing. Dixon did not violate any rules DR receive any incident report. See exhibit L, L-1 and L-2

25. Defendants are holding Dixon incommunicado and denying him access to the court. Dixon is Denied access to the Law Library. Everytime Dixon get Adm. Remedy Forms to file D.H.O. Appeals or Adm. Remedy Appeals Defendants Confiscate them. Defendants Carter, Cales, Ripple, and Turner refuses to allow Dixon to use the Law Library. See ex: M, M-1 and M2

26. ON 1-6-05 Defendant Cales and Defendant Flemming told Dixon he was being moved from his cell D-12 to cell C-7. Dixon was told the move was cell rotation. When Defendants Move Dixon to cell C-7 they knew a section of that cell back window was missing because they had just moved the previous occupants for that very reason. However, they moved Dixon there to expose him



## Pg 19 STATEMENT OF CASE Cont:

to the elements. At night when the Temperature drop, it became freezingly Cold in that cell. During Defendant Warden Anderson weekly inspection, Dixon Stopped him and ask why he was housed in a cell with no back window in Jan. Defendant Anderson Stated, "You must have done something to piss someone off." Dixon remain in that cell for a week, despite that there was plenty cells open that had a back window. Dixon submitted a Request for Adm. Remedy to Defendant Midgley. When Dixon submitted this request, he did submit a 8 (eight) which is an attempt at informal Resolution. Defendant Midgley and Defendant Ormont disregarded that fact and rejected Dixon Claim, pretending that Dixon didn't attempt an informal Resolution. See Ex. N and N-1

27. ON 1-7-05 Dixon submitted a Request for Adm. Remedy charging Defendant Anderson, Engles, and Hutchins with Dereliction of Duty. Dixon submitted this claim by hand delivering it to Defendant Counselor

## Pg. 20 STATEMENT OF Case Cont:

Midgley. Defendant Midgley held the claim for a month without processing it, then returned it to Dixon unprocessed. Dixon resubmitted the claim to Unit Manager Childress 2-23-05. Defendant Ormont rejected the claim, citing staff failure to properly fill out Section 2 of the 8.5 form. This is again an example of Defendants actions in circumventing Dixon from utilizing the Adm. Remedy Process. See Ex O, O-1 and O-2

28. ON or about Feb. 2004 Defendant Sue Engles Supervised a Search of Dixon Cell Conducted by Defendants Turner, Cales, and Defendant Flemming. These Defendants confiscated all of Dixon 9s, 10s and 11s, Request for Adm. Remedy Forms to deny Dixon opportunity to exercise his right to the process. Inmate Hudson witness Defendants leaving Dixon Cell with his documents in their hands.

29. Dixon have made every attempt possible to satisfy his PLRA requirements, however Defendants has vigorously circumvented

## Pg. 21 Statement of Case Cont:

Dixon from Exhausting his Adm Remedies  
See exhibits P, P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, P-5 & P-6.

30. on or about Feb. 25, 2004 Defendants Sue Engler, Warden Anderson, and Capt. Hutchins made their weekly inspection in the SHU. After completing their inspection, Defendant Logant, Defendant Turner, officer Scott and Defendant Flemming came to Dixon cell and ordered him to cuff up. They moved Dixon to the Strip cage and conducted a harassment Shakedown of his cell. When Dixon was returned to his cell, he was informed that Defendants Anderson, Engler, and Hutchins had authorize Dixon being placed on bag meals. Dixon inquired why, and was told by Defendant Flemming, "for mouthing off at the Warden". It should be noted that Dixon remained on bag meals 10 days. Dixon received NO written Notice or a hearing in this matter.

31 on the following day, which was ground or about 2-26-05 Defendant Hutchin

## Pg. 22 Statement of Case Cont:

returned to Dixon cell with officer Scott, Lepto, Defendant Logant and Lt. Flemming. Dixon was told to cuff up and he was moved from cell D-11 to cell C-5. Dixon was placed in cell C-5 and all of his property was confiscated. Dixon was without past Stamps, Cosmetics, Legal and religious material for ten (10) days. Dixon also didn't have any linen, blanket, or pillow. Defendant Carter was changing inmates clothing, but refused to give Dixon a change of clothing. He said he had order from the Captain not to do so. Defendant Carters also instructed the orderlies not to give Dixon any cleaning supplies. Dixon was given bag meals and held without linen or change of clothing for Ten days. Dixon has attempted to file a Request for Adm. Remedy but Defendants are circumventing the process.

32. ON 3-5-05 Defendant Panty brought Dixon a bag meal. Dixon explain that his Bag meals detail had expired.

## Pg 23 Statement of Case Cont:

Defendant Pantry called Dixon a dumb nappy head Nigger. He further stated, "ON my way home, I will be listening to the Radio and Drinking a beer and laughing at your dumb ass."

33. ON 3-6-05 Defendant Pantry refused to give Dixon his breakfast meal. Defendant Dixon told officer Lepto he needed to see the Lt. because Defendant Pantry had refused to feed him. Defendant Flemming was made aware that Dixon hadn't been given his meal, but because of Defendant racial hatred of Dixon, he did nothing about it. Dixon spoke with Defendant Counsel Midgley about the matter, who was told by Pantry that Dixon refused his tray. Inmate Brown can verify that Dixon didn't refuse his meal.

34. ON 3-9-05 Defendant Flemming and Defendant Carter came to Dixon Cell and ordered him to cuff up. After Dixon cuffed up, he was taken

Pg. 24 Statement of Case Cont:  
 to the Strip Cage where he was  
 Stripped Searched by officer  
 Terrell, Defendant Turner, and Defendant  
 Cales. Dixon had 28 stamps on his  
 person. Defendant Cales took the  
 stamps from Dixon and told Dixon  
 he was going to put them back into  
 Dixon property. Dixon was shortly  
 thereafter escorted back to his  
 cell by Defendant Turner. After  
 Dixon made it back to his cell, he  
 discovered that Defendants Carter,  
 Logant, and Flemming had removed  
 all of his request for Adm. Remedy  
 Forms; took his Soap and tooth-paste;  
 and deliberately broke Dixon  
 Dentures. When Dixon confronted  
 Defendant Cales about the matter,  
 Cales told Dixon Warden Anderson  
 instructed them to shake Dixon  
 Down. This Shakedown was retaliatory  
 and design to harass Dixon.

35. Plaintiff Dixon is subjected to  
 daily racial slurs, intimidation, emotional  
 and physical abuse by Defendants.



## Pg. 25 Statement of Case Cont:

At all times Defendants acted under color of law and they have deliberately violated Dixon Civil Rights

### RELIEF SOUGHT

1. Marty Anderson is being Sued in his individual and official capacity for 350,000 dollars
2. Sue Engles is Sued in her individual and official capacity for 200,000 dollars.
3. Captain Hutchins is Sued in his individual and official capacity for 250,000 dollars
4. Lt. Flemming is Sued in his individual and official capacity for 200,000 dollars
5. D. Calco is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 350,000 dollars
6. B. Stevens is Sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 200,000
7. Jill Carver is Sued in her individual and official capacity for \$ 100,000

## pg 26. Relief Sought Cont:

8. D. Pritt is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 100,000

9. L. Ayers is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 80,000

10. Riffle is sued in his individual and official capacity for 100,000 dollars

11. Turner is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 200,000 dollars

12. Pentry is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 250,000 dollars

13. J. Ford is sued in his individual and official capacity for \$ 200,000

14 T. Carter, Blankenship, Bowman, McGrady, Logant, and Kilgore are all sued in there individual and official capacity for \$ 75,000 each

15 Midgley and Ormont are sued in their official and individual capacity for \$ 200,000



EX. A

Frederick Dixon #26392-039  
Federal Correctional Institute  
P.O. Box 350  
Beaver, WV 25813

April 3, 2004

Region Director  
Mid-Atlantic Region  
10010 Junction Drive  
Suite 100 N  
Anapolis, MD

Re: Racial Discrimination  
Staff Corruption  
Retaliation

Dear Honorable Sir:

To warn a system of its menace is not to apply an attack on that system, but to render it the greatness of service.

I'm presently being target, harassed, retaliated against, and threaten by various staff members, including Warden Anderson. These officials are angry with me because of the complaints I have filed and because of my status as a jailhouse lawyer.

Around about March 2, 2004 I wrote Warden Anderson and pointed out the fact that the vast majority of the inmates at this prison are Black. Minority make up over 85 percent of the prison population, but our jailers are predominate White males who openly display racist attitudes. These men lack training in race relationship. Black inmates are not taken seriously, respected, or acknowledge as human beings. There exist no dialogue between the Black inmates and their White jailers.

I have also file complaints with the Warden about Inadequate Access to the Law Library and legal copying. Presently, the Law Library is only open for appropriately 35 hours a week. Inmates are only allowed legal copies three days a week for 35 minutes each day. This practice constitute Denial of Access to the Court.

White inmates are given preference treatment over Black inmates. They are assigned to all of the prestigious jobs like Clerk, Teacher's aid, etc. Blacks are assigned to the kitchen. I have an Associate Degree and I'm a Paralegal, but because I'm Black I was assigned to the kitchen.

The grievance system is ineffective and a mockery. I have several grievances file, but staff have refused to respond to them. In fact I'm being threaten with segregation if I continue filing grievance. Warden Anderson told me personall in the kitchen that I had too much idle time on my hand to file complains, and that he would make sure he find something to keep me busy.

EX A-1

I'm serving a 30 month sentence for Fraud. My custody level should only be four points, but because of staff racial hatred of me and because of retaliation, they scored me with 9 points. I was erroneously scored 5 points for prior violent Obstruction Misdemeanor charges that are outsside of five years. I'm suppose to be at Camp, but I'm being confine at a institution with inmates serving life and 30 years in retaliation for exercising my right to grieve conditions I disagree with.

I'm personally being target by staff at Beckley. I believe they are going to lie on me or set me up to justify placing me in Administrated Detention. The staff at this facility are racist, vindictive, and will stoop to any level imaginable.

If I'm not removed from this facility and place at my appropriate custody level, I will be asking the ACLU to assist me in bringing a Class Action Civil Right Complaint against these officials. These officials are violating the Government Affirmative Action Policy and they are also subjecting Black Prisoners to Cruel and Unusual Punishment. We are also denied Adequate Access to a Law Library.

I'm asking that you investigate this matter and send me a copy of your finding. I'm thanking you in advance for your time consideration.

Sincerely,

Frederick Dixon

cc: ACLU

file

**REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA**

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: DIXON, Fred Register Number: 26592-039

Assigned Unit: OAU Counselor: M. MIDGLEY

Date of Request: 8-16-04 Date of Incident: ON GOING

Specific Complaint: THE HIGHER PAYING JOBS IN THE KITCHEN ARE GIVEN TO THE WHITE INMATES

Informal Resolution was not accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Dixon 26592-039 8-16-04  
Inmate Signature / Register Number Date

[Signature] 8-16-04  
Counselor Signature Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 8-18-04

Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES / NO. If no, reason: \_\_\_\_\_

Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted YES / NO. D. GREENWALT

If no, reason. If yes, response: JOBS ASSIGNED IN FOOD SERVICE ARE

ASSIGNED BASED ON YOUR ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE JOB, THEY ARE NOT ASSIGNED BY RACE.

Informal Resolution was not accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

Dixon 26592-039 8-19-04  
Inmate Signature / Register Number Date

[Signature] 8-19-04  
Counselor Signature Date

[Signature] 8-19-04  
Unit Manager Signature Date




**SUBJECT:**



EX. B-5

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: AUGUST 27, 2004

FROM:  ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
MID-ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: 004-414U  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS REGIONAL APPEAL  
IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY  
OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 348509-R1 REGIONAL APPEAL  
DATE RECEIVED : AUGUST 26, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : DISCRIMINATION  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: SEE REMARKS.

REMARKS : RESUBMIT AT THE INSTITUTION LEVEL AND BE MORE  
SPECIFIC WITH HOW YOUR CONCERNS PERTAIN TO YOU.

U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

EX B-3

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-DIR-9 including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: DIXON FRED 26592-039 oak A upper FCI Berkeley  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

## Part A—REASON FOR APPEAL

Ms. Trump refusal to process my BP-9 is an effort to circumvent the Administrative Remedy Process. It is a violation of Federal Law to Discriminate in employment. Caucasian inmates are given preference treatment in Job placements and greater pay. My claim is clear and doesn't need to be any more specific. The BOP is an Agency who profess to not discriminate based on Race, Creed or religion, however Beckley discriminate in Job assignment.

8-23-04

DATE

Fred Dixon

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

## Part B—RESPONSE

DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

THIRD COPY: WARDEN'S ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY FILE

CASE NUMBER:

## Part C—RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: 20492

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

SUBJECT:

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

BP-230(13)

APRIL 1982

HSP 1 VN

DATE

Previous editions not usable

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: AUGUST 20, 2004

FROM: *for Honi K. [unclear] AR Clerk*  
ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BECKLEY FCI

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: 004-414U  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 348509-F1 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST  
DATE RECEIVED : AUGUST 20, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : DISCRIMINATION  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOU MUST PROVIDE MORE SPECIFIC INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR REQUEST/APPEAL SO THAT IT MAY BE CONSIDERED. WE NEED TO KNOW YOUR SPECIFIC CONCERN AND HOW IT RELATES TO THE BUREAU'S ASPECT OF YOUR CONFINEMENT.

REJECT REASON 2: SEE REMARKS.

REJECT REASON 3: YOU MAY RESUBMIT YOUR REQUEST IN PROPER FORM WITHIN 5 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS REJECTION NOTICE.

REMARKS : YOUR REQUEST IS NOT SPECIFIC.



**SECRET**

Applicants are to submit a written proposal, including four copies, electronically, each of the completed SF-298P-15 and SF-298P-10, including any attachments and a signed statement of work, to:

From	DATE	TIME	INSTITUTION
------	------	------	-------------

[illegible]

STATE

## Part 2: Research

ADVICE

### GENERAL COUNSEL

THIRD COPY- WARDEN'S ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY FILE

CASE NUMBER: 87X504-0

### Part C—RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

Return to: LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REC. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
----------	------	-------------

**SUBJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE OF RECIPIENT OF CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL

BR-231(13)  
APRIL 1982



EX-B-76

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 2004

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BOP CENTRAL OFFICE

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: Z01-010LAD  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL  
IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY  
OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 348509-A1 CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL  
DATE RECEIVED : SEPTEMBER 28, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : DISCRIMINATION  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: SEE REMARKS.

REMARKS : WE CONCUR WITH THE INSTITUTION AND THE REGIONAL  
OFFICE'S RATIONALE FOR REJECTING YOUR APPEAL. PLEASE  
REFILE WITH THE INSTITUTION.

RECEIVED

SUBJECT

DATE

RECEIVED SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

BP-220113  
APRIL 1982

EXC-1

**REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY**

**BEC-355965-F1**

**INMATE: DIXON, Frederick**

**Reg. No.: 26592-039**


Your Request for Administrative Remedy received October 25, 2004, regarding confiscation of legal files, has been reviewed. Specifically, you contend staff confiscated your legal material without justification to harass you and did not return the legal work to you.

A review of this matter reveals that a file of legal work containing documents belonging to twenty-six different inmates was found in the law library on August 30, 2004, during a random search. None of the inmates were present in the law library to claim ownership of the legal work. Program Statement 1315.07, Legal Activities, Inmate, states "legal materials left unattended in the law library or other designated location may be disposed of as nuisance contraband or returned by staff to the owner." Documentation reveals the legal materials were returned to the owners upon request.

Based on the above facts, I can find no basis for further administrative action. Your request for administrative relief is denied. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

Date

11/9/04

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden



Federal Bureau of Prisons

EX-D

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. Additional instructions on reverse.

From: Mr. Fred D. Dixon

25392-039

ack 8-10-04

FBI-Beckley

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

Part A - INMATE INQUIRY - DEPT. CORRECTIONS/DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS On 9-3-04 while doing legal research in the Education Department, I was called in by Mr. Blankenship office and asked me a very rude and angry how "what kind of games are you playing Dixon". She went on to accuse me of having gone to the court claiming legal material that Mr. Shown arbitrarily confiscated from me 8-27-04. I informed Mr. Blankenship that I had no idea what she was talking about. She at that time told me, "we have been really nice to you, allowing you extra time, but in the future if you come back to Beckley at 12:30, I will personally escort you to the Captain and have him place you in the cell". She further accused me of not taken advantage of the extra time I was supposed to have, which is not true and a false pretext to justify denying me adequate access to the court. The only periods I have missed the law library are when I had no typing ribbon and when Shown confiscated my files. The commissary was out of typing ribbon for two weeks and Shown confiscated and holding files for a week.

Mr. Blankenship actions were intended to harass and intimidate me. She has created a very hostile environment in which I find it very difficult to relax and concentrate on doing legal research. I have a direct appeal pending in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeal, in which I am representing myself. It is impossible for me to protect my appeal with the harassment and intimidation Mr. Blankenship have imposed on me.

I'm requesting that the harassment and intimidation I'm being subjected to be stopped as well as the denial of adequate law library time.

Fred Dixon  
SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

DATE: 11-04

Part B - RESPONSE

11-23-04

DATE

WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

SECOND COPY: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: 351803 F1

CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

Part C - RECEIPT

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

BP-229(13)  
APRIL 1982



0118-1

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT			
TO :	THE PRESIDENT		
FROM :	[Name]		
SUBJECT :	[Subject]		

EX. F

To: Warden Marty Anderson

From: Frederick Dixon #26592-039

*Frederick Dixon*

Subject: Staff Corruption/Denial of Access to the Court

You are hereby placed on notice that due to the repeated harassment and intimidation of your Staff at the Law Library, I'm officially terminating my obligations to assist Inmate Melvin Harris, Darryl Morris, David Gibson, Williams, and Ray. I will be informing these men that since you and your staff are restricting, harassing, and threatening me, to coerce me to not assist them, that you are obligated to provide them a "reasonable alternative" to legal services.

Your Staff do not realize that in Johnson v. Avery, a Supreme Court ruling, the Court gave prison official a choice of providing inmates with access to the courts by making available an adequate law library and persons trained in the law. These persons could be competent inmates train in the law, or lawyers. At this facility, you have neither, and the inmates who are skilled in the law and offer legal assistance are impeded, discouraged, and harassed.

This is my last attempt to warn you of the gross violations and disregard of inmates rights to Access to the Court by Staff responsible to you.

cc: Regional Director  
National Director  
Senator Carl Levin

file

8-2004



February 20, 2004  
Attachment A

**EX G**

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: DIXON Register Number: 26592-039Assigned Unit: SHU Counselor: \_\_\_\_\_Date of Request: 10-8-04 Date of Incident: 10-6-04Specific Complaint: Stevens, Barkley, McGrath & Carver  
conspired against me by falsifying memos  
and incident report in retaliation for grievances I  
filed against themInformal Resolution was not accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.Inmate Signature / Register Number: Fred Wilson 26592-039 Date: Dec 6, 2004Counselor Signature: [Signature] Date: 12-6-04

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 12-6-04Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES / NO. If no, reason: \_\_\_\_\_Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted: YES / NO INMATE HAS ALREADY  
FILED AN APPEALIf no, reason. If yes, response: AS YOU HAVE BEEN INFORMED, YOU  
HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL ANY INCIDENT REPORT.Informal Resolution was not accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.Inmate Signature / Register Number: Wilson 26592-039 Date: 12-6-04Counselor Signature: [Signature] Date: 12-6-04Unit Manager Signature: [Signature] Date: 12/7/04

**EX. G-1**

NAME	FRANKLIN, RICHARD	25842-039	SEA	PLI-Beckley
INSTITUTION	U.S. MARINE CORPS, MARINE CORPS	REC'D	UNIT	INSTITUTION

[illegible]

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 20 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL RETURNED BY MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 360-3-1-F

CASE NUMBER:

### Part C-RECEIPT

Return to:	LAST NAME FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
------------	--------------------------------	----------	------	-------------

**SUBJECT:**

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

USP LVN

BP-229(13)  
APRIL 1982



~~12~~ EX. G-2

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: DECEMBER 13, 2004

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BECKLEY FCI

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: 204-012LDS  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 361317-F1 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST  
DATE RECEIVED : DECEMBER 8, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF  
SUBJECT 2 : ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCEDURES  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOUR REQUEST IS UNTIMELY. INSTITUTION AND CCC REQUESTS (BP-09) MUST BE RECEIVED W/20 DAYS OF THE EVENT COMPLAINED ABOUT.

REJECT REASON 2: SEE REMARKS.

REMARKS : YOU HAVE PROVIDED NO PROOF THAT WOULD EXPLAIN THE UNTIMELY FILING OF THIS REQUEST. IF DUE TO STAFF ERROR, STAFF MUST PROVIDE A MEMORANDUM.

TO: SAU LIT

EX M

11-17-04

From: Fred Dixon 26592-039

On 11-16-04 your morning Shift Staff reportedly inform you that I requested to see you, to present to you important evidence that could aid in the on going investigations into my staff Corruption claim; however, you did not come just a few feet from where I observed you sitting to see me. I hope this is not an indication of lack of incorporation. I like to report that the denture I reported confiscated was, or rather, since been placed in the window-seat. Inmate Bridges reported that Cales put them back there. He said he did not touch them. I have not touch them either. I would like them check for finger prints. There are where Cales left them.

At your earliest convenient please arrange for me to have access to the law library I have (4) DHO Appeals I need to meet a 20 day deadline on. I also have a Civil Litigation I need to finish for filing in Federal District Court. I prefer if I'm allowed to use the library on morning shift. The afternoon shift have repeatedly refuse to take me to the library.

In closing, I like to mention that some of my property was with-hold during the Hunger strike. I would like to receive that property back.

CC: Warden Anderson

File

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

EX I

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: Dixon, Fred

Register Number: 26592-039

Assigned Unit: OAK A-upper (SHU)

Counselor: Midgley

Date of Request: 12-26-04

Date of Incident: 12-22-04

Specific Complaint: Officer Cates verbally abused me by using racial slurs and racial intimidation

Informal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

12-26-04  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

1-5-05  
Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 1-3-05

Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES / NO. If no, reason: \_\_\_\_\_

Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted YES / NO. CATES

If no, reason. If yes, response: ACCORDING TO MR. CATES YOU WERE SPOKEN TO IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER

Informal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

1-5-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

1-5-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Unit Manager Signature

1/6/05  
Date

TO: \_\_\_\_\_

FROM: \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (S) DATE NUMBER

17SP:GWN

BP-229(13)  
APRIL 1982



REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INMATE: DIXON, Fredrick  
Reg. No. 26592-039

EX I-2


BEC-363755-F1

Your Request for Administrative Remedy, received January 10, 2005, alleging racial discrimination and violation of the employee code of conduct, has been reviewed. Specifically, you allege staff removed items from your personal property and you discriminating remarks towards you.

Your allegations are under investigation. Should any further action be necessary, such action will be taken; however, staff personnel matters are not subject to inmate review.

To the extent an investigation is being undertaken, your Request for Administrative Remedy is partially granted. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

1/26/05  
Date

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: DIXON, Fred

Register Number: 26592-039

Assigned Unit: DAK A-upper (SHU)

Counselor: Midgley

Date of Request: 12-26-04

Date of Incident: 12-15-04

Specific Complaint: Lt. Flemming Assaulted me because of Racial hatred. I would like to prosecute

Informal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

12-26-04  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

1-5-05  
Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 1-3-05

Was issue discussed with the inmate YES NO. If no, reason: \_\_\_\_\_

Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted YES NO. LT. FLEMING

If no, reason. If yes, response: THE AMOUNT OF FORCE USED WAS DEEMED APPROPRIATE TO CONTROL THE SITUATION

Informal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

1-5-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

1-5-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Unit Manager Signature

1/6/05  
Date

SUBJECT

DATE

WRIGHT/LEWIS SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

BP-228(18)  
APRIL 1982

**REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY**

**BEC-363759-F1**

**INMATE: DIXON, Fredrick**


**Reg. No. 26592-039**

Your Request for Administrative Remedy, received January 10, 2005, alleging excessive use of force by staff, has been reviewed. Specifically, you allege staff became physically abusive when escorting you by twisting your thumb and smashing the handcuffs into your skin.

Your allegations are under investigation. Should any further action be necessary, such action will be taken; however, staff personnel matters are not subject to inmate review.

To the extent an investigation is being undertaken, your Request for Administrative Remedy is partially granted. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

1/26/05  
Date

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: Dixon, Fred Register Number: 26592-039

Assigned Unit: 02K A-upper (SHU) Counselor: Midgley

Date of Request: 12-26-04 Date of Incident: 12-15-04

Specific Complaint: Cales removed legal documents, stamps, and cosmetics from my property to harass me and impede my effort to redress the Court

Informal Resolution was was not accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Dixon 1-5-05  
Inmate Signature / Register Number Date

[Signature] 1-5-05  
Counselor Signature Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 1-3-05

Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES / NO. If no, reason:

Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted: YES / NO. CALES

If no, reason. If yes, response: ACCORDING TO MR CALES HE HAS NEVER SHAKEN YOU DOWN

Informal Resolution was was not accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

[Signature] 1-5-05  
Inmate Signature / Register Number Date

[Signature] 1-5-05  
Counselor Signature Date

[Signature] 1/6/05  
Unit Manager Signature Date



Federal Bureau of Prisons

Ex 10-1

TO: DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS  
FROM: [illegible]  
SUBJECT: [illegible]  
[The body of the letter contains several paragraphs of text that are mostly illegible due to heavy noise and poor scan quality. Some words like "enclosed", "information", and "response" are faintly visible.]

1/26/05

[Handwritten signature]

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL - RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: 36375371

CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

Part C - RECEIPT

Return to:	LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
SUBJECT:				

DATE

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)



EX K-2

## REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

BEC-363753-F1

INMATE: DIXON, Fredrick


Reg. No. 26592-039

Your Request for Administrative Remedy, received January 10, 2005, alleging staff corruption and denial of access to the courts, has been reviewed. Specifically, you allege staff removed items from your property without providing you with a confiscation notice.

Your allegations are under investigation. Should any further action be necessary, such action will be taken; however, staff personnel matters are not subject to inmate review.

To the extent an investigation is being undertaken, your Request for Administrative Remedy is partially granted. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

1/26/05  
Date

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden

EX L

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: DIXON, FredRegister Number: 26592-039Assigned Unit: OAK A-upper (SHU)Counselor: MidgleyDate of Request: 12-26-04Date of Incident: 12-21-04Specific Complaint: Capt. Hutchins, Warden Anderson, D.W. Sue Engles, and Kirkland abused their discretion by placing Dixon on bag meals without affording him Due process.Informal Resolution was / was not accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.Dixon, Fred  
Inmate Signature / Register Number12-26-04  
Date[Signature]  
Counselor Signature1-5-05  
Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 1-3-05Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES NO. If no, reason: Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted: YES / NO. CA/ESIf no, reason. If yes, response: YOU WERE PLACED ON SACK LUNCH DUE TO YOU THREATENING STAFFInformal Resolution was / was not accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.Dixon, Fred  
Inmate Signature / Register Number1-5-05  
Date[Signature]  
Counselor Signature1-5-05  
Date[Signature]  
Unit Manager Signature1/6/05  
Date



[illegible]

100

JAN 10 1977

4/23/05  
DATE

WARTEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

**ORIGINAL - UNCLASSIFIED**

CASE NUMBER: 36-3703-1

**CASE NUMBER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part C - RECORD**

Return to:	REF NO	UNIT	INSTITUTION
------------	--------	------	-------------

**SUBJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

USP.LVN

BP-229(13)  
APRIL 1982

EXL-2

**REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY**

**BEC-363763-F1**

**INMATE: DIXON, Fredrick**


**Reg. No. 26592-039**

Your Request for Administrative Remedy, received January 10, 2005, alleging violation of your rights to due process of the law, has been reviewed. Specifically, you allege staff arbitrarily and capriciously placed you on bag meals without serving you notice or providing you with a hearing.

Your allegations are under investigation. Should any further action be necessary, such action will be taken; however, staff personnel matters are not subject to inmate review.

To the extent an investigation is being undertaken, your Request for Administrative Remedy is partially granted. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

1/27/05  
Date

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden



EX. M

BEG-1330-13d  
February 20, 2004  
Attachment AREQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: Frederick DixonRegister Number: 26592-039Assigned Unit: Oak A-Upper/SHUCounselor: MidgleyDate of Request: 1-2-05Date of Incident: on goingSpecific Complaint: violating of ps 131507. I'm not being provided with adequate and reasonable access to the law library. MY limited access is vindictive and in retaliation for complaints I have filed on officials.Informal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Inmate Signature / Register Number

Date

Counselor Signature

Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: 1-3-05Was issue discussed with the inmate YES / NO. If no, reason: Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted: YES / NO. CA / ESIf no, reason. If yes, response: YOU ARE PLACED IN THE LAW LIBRARY ASAP AFTER STAFF RECEIVE A COP OUT FROM YOUInformal Resolution was ~~was not~~ accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

Inmate Signature / Register Number

Date

Counselor Signature

Date

Unit Manager Signature

Date

Report	Report of 1st Lt. G. J. ...	25502-179	Serial	1st Lt. G. J. ...
	...	...		...

1. The first of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

2. The second of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

3. The third of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

4. The fourth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

5. The fifth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

6. The sixth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

7. The seventh of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

8. The eighth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

9. The ninth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

10. The tenth of these is the fact that the United States has a large and growing population of people who are not citizens of the United States. This is a result of the large number of people who have immigrated to the United States in recent years, and the fact that many of these people are not naturalized citizens.

1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 2679, 26

10-2215



1/26/05

**CONFIDENTIAL**

made effective within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

**CASE NUMBER:** 3105150-1

**CASE NUMBER:** \_\_\_\_\_

### Part C—RECEIPT

Return to:	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
U.S. AIR FORCE, AIRCRAFT MATERIAL CENTER #1			

**SUBJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

USP LVN

BP-228(13)  
APRIL 1982

EX. M-2

## REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

BEC-363750-F1

INMATE: DIXON, Fredrick


Reg. No. 26592-039

Your Request for Administrative Remedy, received January 10, 2005, alleging staff have arbitrarily and capriciously denied you access to the law library, has been reviewed. Specifically, you allege staff retaliated against you by denying you access to the Special Housing Unit law library.

Your allegations are under investigation. Should any further action be necessary, such action will be taken; however, staff personnel matters are not subject to inmate review.

To the extent an investigation is being undertaken, your Request for Administrative Remedy is partially granted. If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons, Mid-Atlantic Region, 10010 Junction Drive, Suite 100-North, Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701, within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of this response.

1/26/05  
Date

  
Marty C. Anderson, Warden



# THE RECKLEY

[illegible]

RECEIVED  
MAR 03 2005  
FBI

*If filed with this request, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.*

~~CONFIDENTIAL - INFORMATIONAL~~

CASE NUMBER: 368-123-F

**CASE NUMBER:** \_\_\_\_\_

### Part C - RECEIPTS

Return to: _____	REG NO _____	UNIT _____	INSTITUTION _____
------------------	--------------	------------	-------------------

**SUBJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

USP LYN

BP-228(13)  
APRIL 1982

EX. N-1

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: MARCH 3, 2005

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BECKLEY FCI

TO: FREDRICK DIXON, 203-005LDS  
BECKLEY FCI UNIT: OAK QTR: 203-005LDS  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 368733-F1 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST  
DATE RECEIVED : MARCH 3, 2005  
SUBJECT 1 : UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOU DID NOT ATTEMPT INFORMAL RESOLUTION PRIOR TO SUBMISSION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY, OR YOU DID NOT PROVIDE THE NECESSARY EVIDENCE OF YOUR ATTEMPT AT INFORMAL RESOLUTION.

REJECT REASON 2: YOU MAY RESUBMIT YOUR REQUEST IN PROPER FORM WITHIN 5 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS REJECTION NOTICE.

REMARKS : NO ATTEMPT OF INFORMAL RESOLUTION WAS SUBMITTED WITH YOUR REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY.



REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY  
INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM  
FCI BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA

Section 1 - To be completed by the Unit Counselor at the time inmate request Administrative Remedy.

Inmate Name: Dixon Register Number: 26592-039

Assigned Unit: OAK-A-UPPER (SHU) Counselor: Midgley

Date of Request: 1-7-05 Date of Incident: ON-going

Specific Complaint: Warden Anderson, AW. Sue Eagles and Capt Hutchins are dereliction of their duty in not stopping violation of Inmate Civil Rights

Informal Resolution was / was not accomplished. If not accomplished, inmate issued Request for Administrative Remedy Form. Inmate was advised to review Program Statement 1330.13, Administrative Remedy Program, to ensure compliance with filing procedures.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

1-7-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

2-23-05  
Date

Section 2 - To be completed by the Counselor when inmate submits Request for Administrative Remedy Form.

Date Submitted: \_\_\_\_\_

Was issue discussed with the inmate: YES / NO. If no, reason: \_\_\_\_\_

Were staff or departments noted in Administrative Remedy contacted: YES / NO.

If no, reason. If yes, response: \_\_\_\_\_

Informal Resolution was / was not accomplished. If not accomplished, staff submitted Request for Administrative Remedy Form to Administrative Remedy Coordinator.

Dixon 26592-039  
Inmate Signature / Register Number

1-7-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Counselor Signature

2-23-05  
Date

[Signature]  
Unit Manager Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

82-0-1

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

TO: [Illegible]  
 FROM: [Illegible]  
 SUBJECT: [Illegible]  
 [The following text is extremely faint and largely illegible due to heavy noise and poor scan quality. It appears to be a memorandum or report detailing an investigation or administrative action.]

0-1



DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_ (OFFICIAL TITLE)  
 If identified with this response, your signature must be received in this office within 10 calendar days of the date of this response.  
 ORIGINAL: COPIES FOR: \_\_\_\_\_ (CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_)

Part C - RECEIPT  
 Return to: \_\_\_\_\_ REG. NO. \_\_\_\_\_ UNIT \_\_\_\_\_ INSTITUTION \_\_\_\_\_  
 SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

Ex. 0-2

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: MARCH 3, 2005

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BECKLEY FCI

TO : FREDERICK DINKIN, 20052-0001  
BECKLEY FCI CNT: OAK QTR: 203-005LDS  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25812

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 368735-F1 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST  
DATE RECEIVED : MARCH 3, 2005  
SUBJECT 1 : UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: SEE REMARKS.

REJECT REASON 2: YOU MAY RESUBMIT YOUR REQUEST IN PROPER FORM WITHIN 5 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS REJECTION NOTICE.

REMARKS : YOUR ATTEMPT FOR INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM (8.5) WAS NOT PROPERLY COMPLETED BY STAFF (SECTION 2), AND THEREFORE WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED. SEE YOUR CC.



U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

EX- P

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-DIR-9 including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.


From: Dixon, Fred 26592-039 Unit A-upper FCI-Beckley  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

Part A—REASON FOR APPEAL Ms. Trump refusal to process my BP-9 is an effort to circumvent the Administrated Remedy Process. It is a violation of Federal Law to Discriminate in employment. Caucasian inmates are given preference treatment in Job Placements and greater pay. My claim is clear and doesn't need to be any more specific. The BOP is an Agency who profess to not discriminate based on Race, Creed or religion, however Beckley Discriminate in Job assignment.

8-23-04  
DATE

Fred Dixon  
SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B—RESPONSE



DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

Part C—RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: 308509-21

Return to: \_\_\_\_\_  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

USP LVN

DATE

Previous editions not usable

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

BP-230(13)  
APRIL 1982

U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

EX. P-1

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-DIR-9 including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: Dixon, Fred 2692-039 SHU FCI-Brockley  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

## Part A—REASON FOR APPEAL

My Counselor Mr. Midgley and Adm. Remedy Coordinator Ormont are denying Dixon Access to the Adm. Remedy Process. In fact, they are attempting to hold Dixon incommunicado. Dixon have a number of Request for Adm. Remedies he's attempting to process, but Counselor Midgley refuse to initiate the process. Dixon is indigent and have numerous DHO Appeals he need to mail to you however staff is refusing to pay for Dixon with the postage to do so. Attached, you will find a BP-dir-9 that Dixon submitted at Institutional level, but Midgley and Unit Team refused to process it. Clearly, they are attempting to stall me and slowdown the process, all in an effort to deny me Access to Court.

DATE Mar 3, 2004

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

## Part B—RESPONSE

DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

FIRST COPY REGIONAL FILE COPY

CASE NUMBER

## Part C—RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER

361098-Rf

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

SUBJECT:



RECEIVED

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

BP-230(13)  
APRIL 1982



**EX. P-2**

Type - cross ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies, all in and all in attachments on reverse.

[illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

**UPDATE**

WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

**UNRECD COPY: RETURN TO ENVIATRE**

**CASE NUMBER**

**CASE NUMBER**

### Part C—RECEIPTS

Return to:	LAST NAME FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL	REG NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
------------	--------------------------------	---------	------	-------------

**SUBJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

U. S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Ex. P-3

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-DIR-9 including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: Dixon, Fred 26592-039 SHW FCI-Buckley  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION


Part A—REASON FOR APPEAL My Counselor Mr. Midgley and Adm. Remedy

Coordinator Ormont are denying Dixon Access to the Adm. Remedy Process. In fact, they are attempting to hold Dixon incommunicado. Dixon have a number of Request for Adm. Remedies he's attempting to process, but Counselor Midgley refuse to initiate the process. Dixon is indigent and have numerous DHO Appeals he need to mail to you; however, staff is refusing to provide Dixon with the postage to do so. Attached, you will find a BP-DIR-9 that Dixon submitted at Institutional level, but Midgley and unit Team refused to process it. Clearly, they are attempting to stall me and slowdown the process, all in an effort to deny me Access to Court.

Dec 3, 2004  
 DATE

Fred Dixon  
 SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B—RESPONSE



DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER:

Part C—RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: 361098-Rf

Return to: \_\_\_\_\_  
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

USP 1 VN

DATE

Previous editions not usable

BP-230(13)  
APRIL 1982

Ex. P-4

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: DECEMBER 9, 2004


FROM: *[Signature]*  
ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
MID-ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: Z04-012LDS  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS REGIONAL APPEAL  
IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY  
OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 361098-R1 REGIONAL APPEAL  
DATE RECEIVED : DECEMBER 8, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCEDURES  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOU MUST FIRST FILE A BP-9 REQUEST THROUGH THE INSTITUTION  
FOR THE WARDEN'S REVIEW AND RESPONSE BEFORE FILING AN APPEAL  
AT THIS LEVEL.





DATE		DEL 20 2005		CASE NUMBER 361078	
RECEIVED BY					
NAME		LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL		INSTITUTION	
SIGNATURE		DATE		INSTITUTION	
SUBJECT					

Ex. P-6

D-12

REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2004

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
BOP CENTRAL OFFICE

TO : FREDRICK DIXON, 26592-039  
BECKLEY FCI UNT: OAK QTR: 204-012LDS  
P.O. BOX 1280  
BEAVER, WV 25813

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL  
IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY  
OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 361098-A1 CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL  
DATE RECEIVED : DECEMBER 20, 2004  
SUBJECT 1 : ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCEDURES  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOU MUST FIRST FILE A BP-9 REQUEST THROUGH THE INSTITUTION  
FOR THE WARDEN'S REVIEW AND RESPONSE BEFORE FILING AN APPEAL  
AT THIS LEVEL.

REMARKS : CONCUR WITH REJECTION.